

Cumulative Table of Cases

Connecticut Reports

Volume 327

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

American First Federal, Inc. v. Gordon (Order)	909
Ampero v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	953
Arroyo v. University of Connecticut Health Center (Order)	973
Avery v. Medina (Order)	927
Bagley v. Adel Wiggins Group	89
<i>Product liability; action pursuant to Connecticut's Product Liability Act (§ 52-572m et seq.) alleging wrongful death of decedent based on his exposure to defendant's allegedly defective asbestos containing product; motion for directed verdict; motion to set aside verdict and for judgment notwithstanding verdict; whether expert testimony was necessary to prove plaintiff's strict liability and negligence claims; whether plaintiff proved through competent expert testimony that defendant's product was dangerous and that product's dangerous condition caused decedent to develop mesothelioma; whether court's holding compelled by law as it existed at time of trial or whether it stemmed from principles newly articulated in court's recent product liability jurisprudence, entitling plaintiff to new trial.</i>	
Bank of America, N.A. v. Fisher (Order)	903
Bank of America, N.A. v. Sabir (Order)	903
Bank of New York Mellon v. Lindsey (Order)	931
Bank of New York Mellon v. Mauro (Order)	986
Bigelow v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929
Bozelko v. D'Albero (Order)	912
Brander v. Stoddard (Order)	928
Bueno v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Cadle Co. v. Ogalin (Order)	930
CCT Communications, Inc. v. Zone Telecom, Inc.	114
<i>Breach of contract; declaratory judgment; whether trial court made finding of breach based on plaintiff telecommunication company's failure to provide adequate service; whether trial court correctly concluded that plaintiff's act of filing for bankruptcy constituted material breach that permitted defendant telecommunication company to terminate parties' agreement; whether defendant validly exercised contractual right to terminate agreement following plaintiff's voluntary bankruptcy petition pursuant to ipso facto clause in agreement notwithstanding federal statute (11 U.S.C. § 365 [e]) generally barring enforcement of such clauses; common-law ride-through doctrine, discussed; whether trial court's judgment could be affirmed on alternative ground that contract fell within statutory (11 U.S.C. § 556) exception to 11 U.S.C. § 365 (e) for commodity forward contracts.</i>	
Cimino v. Cimino (Order)	929
CitiMortgage, Inc. v. Tanasi (Order)	978
Clinton S. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	927
Cohen v. Meyers (Order)	973
Colonial Investors, LLC v. Furbush (Order)	968
Commissioner of Public Health v. Colandrea (Order)	957
Cook-Littman v. Board of Selectmen (Order)	956
Costa v. Plainville Board of Education (Order)	961
Crouse v. Sloat (Order)	984
Dejana v. Dejana (Order)	977
Deutsche Bank AG v. Sebastian Holdings, Inc. (Orders)	966,967
Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	957
Diehl v. Powell (Order)	979
Dull v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	930
Emerick v. Emerick (Order)	922
Evans v. Tiger Claw, Inc. (Order)	976
Financial Freedom Acquisition, LLC v. Griffin (Order)	931
Fitzpatrick v. U.S. Bank National Assn. (Order)	902
Freeman v. A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. (Order)	927

Freer v. Chien (Order)	987
Freer v. Fu (Order)	988
Girolametti v. Michael Horton Associates, Inc. (Orders)	963,964,966
Girolametti v. Michael Horton Associates, Inc. (Orders)	980,981
Girolametti v. VP Buildings, Inc. (Orders)	964,965
Girolametti v. VP Buildings, Inc. (Orders)	982,983
Gordon v. Gordon (Order)	904
Harper-Wilson v. A Better Way Wholesale Autos, Inc. (Order)	959
Haughey v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	906
Heinonen v. Gupton (Order)	902
Hull v. Newtown	402
<i>Personal injury; summary judgment; immunity from statutory (§ 52-557n) municipal liability; whether police department policies governing arrests and prisoner transportation imposed ministerial, nondiscretionary duty on its officers to search individual taken into custody pursuant to civil commitment statute (§ 17a-503 [a]) and transported to hospital for emergency evaluation; discretionary and ministerial acts, distinguished.</i>	
Hutterly v. Miller (Order)	904
Hynes v. Jones (Order)	930
In re Elianah T.-T. (Order)	912
In re Henry P. B.-P.	312
<i>Petitions for appointment of coguardian and juvenile status findings pursuant to statute (§ 45a-608n [b]); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly affirmed judgments of Superior Court dismissing probate appeals; whether Probate Court was divested of authority to make findings under § 45a-608n (b) when minor child reached age of eighteen during pendency of underlying proceeding.</i>	
In re Luis N. (Orders)	958
Inzitari v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	902
Ionescu v. Stratford (Order)	901
James v. Commissioner of Correction	24
<i>Habeas corpus; whether calculation of presentence confinement credit should be adjusted for concurrent sentences imposed under one docket number but on different dates due to mistrial; whether denial of presentence confinement credit impermissibly burdened petitioner's constitutional right to pursue double jeopardy claim on retrial.</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Davis (Order)	908
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Herman (Order)	960
Kruger v. Grauer (Order)	901
Lederle v. Spivey (Order)	954
Levanti v. Conway (Order)	919
Mangiafico v. Farmington (Order)	920
Marra v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	955
McClancy v. Bank of America, N.A. (Order)	975
MYM Realty, LLC v. Doe (Order)	908
Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. v. Pasiak	225
<i>Action seeking declaratory judgment to determine whether plaintiff insurance companies were obligated to defend and indemnify defendant in personal injury action brought against him; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly determined that business pursuits exclusion of defendant's personal umbrella policy precluded him from obtaining indemnification; proper standard for determining whether defendant's false imprisonment of his construction company's employee arose out of his business pursuits in operating that company; whether indemnification was precluded under umbrella policy's exclusion for insured's obligation to pay workers' compensation benefits; whether indemnification barred under policy's exclusion for personal injury resulting from physical or mental abuse; whether, in absence of express grant of coverage for punitive damages in insured's policy, it would be violation of public policy to construe policy to indemnify wrongdoer for punitive damages; claim that trial court improperly limited scope of discovery and declaratory judgment trial, depriving plaintiffs of trial de novo on coverage issues they could not litigate in underlying tort action.</i>	
New Haven Parking Authority v. Long Wharf Realty Corp. (Order)	928
Northrup v. Witkowski (Order)	971
OneWest Bank, FSB v. Gnazzo (Order)	922

Pajor v. Administrator, Unemployment Compensation Act (Order)	977
Pascarella v. Silver (Order)	931
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	978
Pereira v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	984
Pires v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
Presidential Village, LLC v. Perkins (Order)	974
Procaccini v. Lawrence & Memorial Hospital, Inc. (Order)	960
Pronovost v. Tierney (Order)	922
R.T. Vanderbilt Co. v. Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co. (Orders)	923, 925
Ramos v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	904
Reserve Realty, LLC v. BLT Reserve, LLC (Order)	911
Reserve Realty, LLC v. Windemere Reserve, LLC (Orders)	910, 911
Retained Realty, Inc. v. Lenahan (Order)	975
Rockstone Capital, LLC v. Sanzo (Order)	968
Rogers v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	929
Salters v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	969
Santos v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	977
Santos v. Stratford (Order)	926
Sinchak v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Smith v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	920
Sousa v. Sousa (Order)	906
State v. Adams	297
<i>Breach of peace second degree; attempted larceny sixth degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of attempted larceny in connection with his attempt to shoplift bag of items from store; whether evidence supported reasonable inference that items in bag that defendant abandoned while fleeing belonged to store; whether evidence of defendant's flight could be used to establish that crime was committed.</i>	
State v. Ames (Order)	908
State v. Andino (Order)	906
State v. Biggs (Order)	975
State v. Boyd (Order)	972
State v. Bozelko (Order)	973
State v. Carter (Order)	960
State v. Cuadrado (Order)	979
State v. Damato-Kushel	173
<i>Writ of error; trial court's ruling precluding plaintiff in error from attending pretrial disposition conferences in criminal case in which he was alleged victim; claim that trial court's ruling barring attendance of plaintiff in error at pretrial disposition conferences violated his right as victim to attend court proceedings that accused has right to attend, as set forth in victim's rights amendment (Conn. Const., amend. XXIX [b] [5]); claim that this court lacked jurisdiction over writ of error on ground that plaintiff in error was not aggrieved by trial court's ruling and that ruling was not appealable final judgment; whether trial court's ruling was improper; whether in-chambers, off-the-record disposition conferences between prosecuting attorney, defense counsel, and presiding judge are court proceedings that accused has right to attend under victim's rights amendment.</i>	
State v. Elmer G. (Order)	971
State v. Franklin (Order)	961
State v. Gill (Order)	987
State v. Halili (Order)	961
State v. Hall-Davis (Order)	987
State v. Holley (Order)	907
State v. Holmes (Order)	984
State v. James E.	212
<i>Risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; whether decision of Appellate Court that defendant's conviction was supported by evidence that he created risk of harm to child's mental health should be upheld on alternative ground that evidence was sufficient to prove that defendant wilfully caused his child to be placed in situation in which her life or limb was endangered; claim that evidence was insufficient to prove that defendant created situation that endangered child's life or limb; whether defendant had requisite general intent to commit risk of injury to child.</i>	
State v. Jones (Order)	905

State v. Joseph (Order)	912
State v. Lewis (Order)	925
State v. Liam M. (Order)	978
State v. McGee (Order)	970
State v. O'Donnell (Order)	956
State v. Patel (Orders)	932, 955
State v. Pelella	1
<i>Threatening second degree; appeal by state on granting of permission; first amendment to United States constitution; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss charges; claim that trial court incorrectly determined that law required alleged threat to be imminent for it to constitute true threat; whether trial court improperly viewed evidence before it in light most favorable to defendant in ruling on motion to dismiss; whether issue of whether statement constituted true threat was for jury to decide.</i>	
State v. Pugh (Order)	985
State v. Purcell (Order)	921
State v. Purcell (Order)	959
State v. Reed (Order)	974
State v. Raynor (Order)	969
State v. Reddick (Order)	921
State v. Sampson (Order)	920
State v. Smith (Order)	910
State v. Soto (Order)	970
State v. Spell (Order)	956
State v. Steele (Order)	962
State v. Thomas (Order)	985
State v. Toro (Order)	905
State v. Torres (Order)	910
State v. Torres (Order)	958
State v. Urbanowski	169
<i>Assault second degree; breach of peace second degree; strangulation second degree; threatening second degree; certification from Appellate Court; claim that trial court improperly admitted prior uncharged misconduct evidence; whether improper admission of evidence was harmless; adoption of Appellate Court's opinion as proper statement of issue of harmlessness and applicable law concerning that issue.</i>	
State v. Walton (Order)	970
State v. Wiggins (Order)	908
State v. Williams (Order)	980
State v. Young (Order)	976
Stones Trail, LLC v. Weston (Orders)	926
Sunbelt Rentals, Inc. v. Douglas P. Fleming, LLC (Order)	972
Szymonik v. Szymonik (Order)	903
Tao v. Court of Probate (Order)	979
Teixeira v. Home Depot, Inc. (Order)	976
Thomson v. Dept. of Social Services (Order)	962
Thorpe v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	905
Tilus v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	962
Toccaline v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	986
Townsend v. Hardy (Order)	909
US Bank National Assn. v. Brouillard (Order)	954
Village Mortgage Co. v. Veneziano (Order)	957
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Owen (Order)	955
Wells Fargo Bank, National Assn. v. Tarzia (Order)	928
Wellswood Columbia, LLC v. Hebron	53
<i>Action to recover damages for temporary taking, temporary nuisance, and tortious interference with business expectancies; whether doctrine of res judicata barred plaintiffs' action; whether takings claim accrued after injunction had been issued in first action; whether accrual of takings claim was postponed because extent of plaintiffs' damages was uncertain; claim that defendant town's road closure constituted temporary nuisance or continuing or recurrent wrong; claim that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether plaintiffs had sustained losses prior to first action for purposes of tortious interference with business expectancies claim; whether policies underlying res judicata supported its application in present case.</i>	

Williams v. Housing Authority	338
<i>Negligence; recklessness; statutory (§ 52-557n) governmental immunity; summary judgment; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that there was genuine issue of material fact as to whether municipal defendants' failure to inspect decedents' apartment for compliance with applicable fire safety codes and regulations, as required by statute (§ 29-305), constituted reckless disregard for health or safety under § 52-557n (b) (8); clarification of standard that governs reckless disregard exception in § 52-557n (b) (8), discussed.</i>	
Zhang v. 56 Locust Road, LLC (Order)	986